### **IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Digital Repository**

[Retrospective Theses and Dissertations](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd?utm_source=lib.dr.iastate.edu%2Frtd%2F14764&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

[Iowa State University Capstones, Theses and](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/theses?utm_source=lib.dr.iastate.edu%2Frtd%2F14764&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) **[Dissertations](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/theses?utm_source=lib.dr.iastate.edu%2Frtd%2F14764&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)** 

1930

## The determination of the effect of manganese and sulfur on the malleablization of white cast iron

Arnold P. Hoelscher *Iowa State College*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd?utm_source=lib.dr.iastate.edu%2Frtd%2F14764&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) Part of the [Mechanical Engineering Commons,](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/293?utm_source=lib.dr.iastate.edu%2Frtd%2F14764&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) and the [Physical Chemistry Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/139?utm_source=lib.dr.iastate.edu%2Frtd%2F14764&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

#### Recommended Citation

Hoelscher, Arnold P., "The determination of the effect of manganese and sulfur on the malleablization of white cast iron" (1930). *Retrospective Theses and Dissertations*. 14764. [https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd/14764](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd/14764?utm_source=lib.dr.iastate.edu%2Frtd%2F14764&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the Iowa State University Capstones, Theses and Dissertations at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Retrospective Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact [digirep@iastate.edu](mailto:digirep@iastate.edu).



#### **INFORMATION TO USERS**

This manuscript has been reproduced from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

The **quality of** this **reproduction** is dependent **upon** the **quality of** the **copy** submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps.

> ProQuest Information and Learning 300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, Ml 48106-1346 USA 800-521-0000



 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$ 

# **NOTE TO USERS**

 $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{A}}$ 

 $\epsilon_{\rm b}$ 

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

**This reproduction is the best copy available.** 

# $\text{UMI}^*$

 $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{in}}$ 

 $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{$ 

#### THE DETERMINATION OF THE EFFECT OF MANGANESE

AND SULFUR ON THE MALLEAELIZATION OF

WHITE CAST IRON

BY

#### Arnold P. Hoelscher

A Thesis Submitted to the Graduate Faculty for the Degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Major Subject Physical Chemistry

#### Approved

Signature was redacted for privacy.

In charge of Major work

Signature was redacted for privacy.

Head of Major Department

Signature was redacted for privacy.

Dean of Graduate College

#### Iowa State College 1930

#### UMI Number: DP14462

i

# UMI

#### UMI Microform DP14462

Copyright 2006 by ProQuest Information and Learning Company. All rights reserved. This microform edition is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code.

> ProQuest Information and Learning Company 300 North Zeeb Road P.O. Box 1346 Ann Arbor, Ml 48106-1346

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The writer wishes to thank Dr. Anson Hayes who suggested and directed this problem, and to express his appreciation to Dr. W. H. Jennings for his valuable assistance in the prepar- $60$ ation of this thesis.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

 $\sim 10$ 



 $\mathcal{L}$ 

-3- $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ 

#### INTRODUCTION  $I$ .

This work was begun in order to obtain data on the effect of manganese and sulfur on the rates of malleablization or graphitization of white cast iron. Two basic compositions were chosen, one of about 2.40% carbon and 1.0% silicon, and the other 2.10% carbon and  $1.10 - 1.15%$  silicon. In both cases the phosphorus was maintained at about 0.15%. The attempt was made to keep the sulfur at a low and constant amount. The variable element was manganese, which was started from a low value and increased at definite intervals. This experimental program was followed as near as it was possible. Although the heat treating results are somewhat of a qualitative nature, they are indicative of the result that was sought at the beginning.

 $-4-$ 

#### HISTORICAL II.

Probably one of the earliest references that was made in regard to the effect of manganese and sulfur on white cast iron was that of Hammer. Schwartz (9) quotes Hammer to the effect that there exists, "A chemical ratio as between carbon and silicon, and manganese and sulphur." In 1875 Hammer established his laboratory which was one of the first in the industry.

Schwartz (9) states that to form manganese sulfide a slight excess of manganese over the theoretical amount is necessary. He also mentions that the only harmful effect sulfur will have is to prevent complete graphitization.

Hayes and Flanders (4) from their results of studying a number of samples of white iron concluded that sulfur has a very harmful influence on the rates of graphitization and that a small amount of manganese corrected the difficulty. They also found that a little sulfur corrected a high manganese iron.

Schwartz and Guiler (10) say, "It is of common knowledge, also, that the presence of sulphur or manganese in abnormal amounts will greatly retard or prevent anneal in the malleable industry."

Flanders (1) while working with material for complete abserption of free cementite found that the worst condition met was a high sulfur value with low manganese. A low sulfur combination also increased considerably the time of graphitiza-

 $-5-$ 

**tion. He also found that a definite minimum time exists for**  the graphitization of a well balanced manganese sulfur combination.

**Temenidijan (13) concluded that the "best percentage of**  manganese to balance the effect of sulfur on the rates of graph**itization could be expressed by the equation,**  $Mn = 2S + 0.15$ **.** Apparently, this constant (0.15) is lower for higher values of silicon. His results of alloy series numbers 2 and 3 are shown **in tables I and II, respectively. These tables follow.** 

 $-6-$ 

### TABLE I (a)



#### PERCENT CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

TABLE I (b)

HEAT THEATLEFT Time st Time at Number of Sample 1700° F. 1300° F.  $\mathbf{I}$ 44+ hours  $2$ <br> $3$ <br> $4$ 22 hours . 39 hours 22 hours 36 1/2 hours  $33 \text{ hours}$ <br> $35 \text{ hours}$ <br> $30 \text{ l}/2 \text{ hours}$ <br> $32 \text{ l}/2 \text{ hours}$ <br> $35 \text{ hours}$ 19 hours  $\frac{5}{6}$ 19 hours 19 hours  $\overline{7}$ 18 hours



TABIE II (a)



### PERCENT CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

### TABLE II (b)

#### HEAT TREATMENT



### **TA3IS III**





-9

**Mikuta's conclusions in regard to manganese and sulfur** were that the former should be limited to 0.5% and the latter to 0.06% for good results in annealing. An excess of either one hinders malleablization especially in the second stage. Table IV which follows gives alloys studied by Kikuta **and the results of time at the first (925® C.) and second (710® C.) stages of graphitization.** 

**-10-**

### $-11-$

### TABIE IV (a)

### CHEMICAL ANALYSIS



TABLE IV (b)



### THE OF GRAPHITIZATION



RESULTS DERIVED FROM TABLE IV



Gilmore (2) showed that the minimum for the ratio, Mn/S, should be 1.7. He recommended for good practice  $\text{Im} = 1.7 \times S + 0.1$  for those cases where the sulfur ranges from 0.0% to 0.15%. He applied this relation to air furnace, open hearth and electric furnace operation. The relation for manganese and sulfur in cupola iron is the same in regard to the minimum, but for recommended practice in this case it is,  $\text{Im} = 1.7 \times S + 0.20$ . The added constant is higher because the sulfur is higher, namely, from  $0.15\%$  to  $0.30\%$ , and also because of greater variations in both manganese and sulfur. Gilmore concluded that whenever the manganese sulfur (ratio) falls below the limit 1.7, the material can not be made into good malleable iron.

Smith (11) drew the following conclusions in regard to sulfur in the production of malleable cast iron (white heart), first, "Sulphur is not removed during the ordinary annealing process<sup>"</sup>, and second, "Then the exterior of the casting is oxidized, sulphur tends to segregate into the unoxidized portions."

Norbury (8) quoted Evans and Peace as follows, "The manganese must be in sufficient quantity to neutralize whatever quantity of sulphur is present. The amount is not, however, the theoretical requirement to form manganese sulphide, i. e., 1.72 times the sulphur content, but is in excess of this. Ac-

 $-13-$ 

tual practise has established that the excess required increases with the sulphur content. With 0.04 percent sulphur the excess required is in the neighbourhood of 0.20 percent manganese. If the excess is more than 0.25 percent, the manganese retards graphitisation? Norbury in his study of gray iron showed two photographs in which a small area of iron sulfide was enclosed within a much larger area of cementite. He suggested that this cementite was not graphitized because of iron sulfide. The field surrounding the cementite was pearlitic.

Levy  $(6)$  annealed  $(a)$  iron free from sulfur,  $(b)$  iron containing  $0.2\%$  sulfur, and (c) iron containing  $0.80\%$  sulfur at 1000° C. in a gas muffle furnace for a period of sixty hours. He found areas of free cementite still persisting in the 0.2% and also in the 0.8% sulfur iron. In the iron free from sulfur, the cementite was absorbed sometime between ten and thirty hours at 1000° C.

Levy (7) concluded that the sulfur acts mechanically and physically so that the conditions which favor the breakdown of cementite are opposed, physically, by the lowering of melting points and by surface tension, mechanically, by the formation of sulfide films which envelope the cementite crystals. These envelopes, according to Levy, may also hinder the expansion which accompanies the graphite formation. In regard to manganese Levy observed that for the quantities usually found in cast iron, manganese tends to form carbides which are

 $-14-$ 

very stable.

Hatfield  $(3)$ , in his work on the influence of sulfur on **iron carbide in the presence of silicon, found that, (a) the sulfur increased the stability of the cementite at the higher**  temperatures; (b) the small amount of sulfur associated with **the carbide is probably the cause of the stability of the lat**ter: (c) the action of sulfur is chemical in nature, which is **not in accord with Levy's idea; (d) the effect of silicon was to neutralize the detrimental action of the sulfurj and (e) laanganese neutralizes the action of the sulfur.** 

Stead (12) while working with gray irons determined that **sulfur crystallized mth the carbide. This was determined by**  analyzing the carbide residue which was obtained from treatment of the iron with a 10% hydrochloric acid solution.

**-15-**

EXPERIENTAL PROCEDURE AND DATA III.

A. Preparation of Alloys

The materials used in the preparation of these alloys consisted of Armco iron, graphite, ferro-silicon (46% silicon), ferro-manganese (46% manganese), ferro-phosphorus  $(25\%$  phosphorus), and ferrous sulfide (50% sulfur).

About 5000 grams of Armco iron were melted in a small Flumbago crucible by means of a 35 kv - a. Ajax Northup electric furnace. The graphite, ferro-silicon, ferro-phosphorus, and ferrous sulfide were then added in quantities to give white iron compositions. Immediately after these additions were made the melt was stirred with an Armco rod. The molten metal was poured into bars of convenient size by using sand molds. This was the basic stock of the material. The basic stock was then remelted and ferro-manganese added to produce the desired percentage of manganese. Any corrections in composition were made at this remelting. The bars on the remelt were poured in individual sand molds in 12 inch lengths having 5/8 inch diameter. A pouring temperature of 2400°  $\sim$  2500°F. was used as determined by a Leeds and Northup optical pyrometer. The sand molds had been allowed to dry at room temperature for a period of 48 - 50 hours. After pouring the remelt, the sand mold was allowed to stand 30 minutes before breaking it. The fracture of the bars in all cases was white. Many

 $-16$ 

bars, however, had an area of shrink in the middle.  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{S}^2)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{S}^2)$  and  $\mathbf{S}$ 

**The only deviation in the pouring of these alloys was in**  the making of numbers 70, 71, 72 and 73, 74, 75. In these cases each set of three was made by melting about 3000 gramsof Armeo iron and directly making the necessary additions for **the composition desired.** 

#### B. Chemical Analysis of Alloys

The analytical methods used for the determination of the chemical compositions were those recommended by the American Society for Testing Materials. Check and blank determinations were made in all cases. The carbon determination was made by direct combustion in oxygen, Ascarite being used as the absorb-The silicon method used was that of mitro-sulphuric acid ent. dehydration. The manganese method used was the sodium bismuthate. Sulfur was determined by the barium sulfate precipitation method. For phosphorus, the alkali-acid titration method was used.

 $-18-$ 

### TABLE A

**CHEMICAL AHAIYSIS** 



### TABIE B

### CHEMICAL AMALYSIS

. . . . . . . .



#### C. Heat Treatment of Alloys

Samples of about one to two inches in length of the various alloys were placed in a Hump annealing furnace which had previously been brought to a temperature of 1700° F. (926° C.). The time required to bring samples to 1700 $\degree$  F. (926 $\degree$  C.) depended on the number of samples which were entered and this time was not included in the total time at 1700° F., or the first stage of graphitization. In order to avoid as much decarburization as possible the samples were packed with graphite in small iron or carbon containers. In spite of this precaution and that of keeping the furnace chamber as air tight as possible, considerable decarburization occurred. The time required for complete removal of free cementite was determined by withdrawing samples at various time intervals. The presence or absence of free cementite was checked by first grinding sufficiently an area of a sample, in order to avoid any surface defects, and then carefully polishing this area. The polished samples were etched with a 5% solution of nitric acid in ethyl alcohol and viewed at a magnification of about a hundred times. The nitric acid etch was removed by polishing and the samples etched with sodium picrate solution. This served as a check on the nitric acid etch. In each case the entire polished surface was investigated microscopically, areas of shrink not being included in the examination. Thenever free cementite remained,

 $-21-$ 

the samples were returned to the furnace at 1700° F. (926° C.) for more annealing.

After the total time for completion of the first stage **of graphitization at 1700® P. (926® G») had "been deterained, <sup>I</sup>the samples were again placed in the containers and packed with carbon as before\* The samples ?rere then placed in the •**  furnace and heated to 1700° F. (926° C.). The power was turn**ed off and the samples were allowed to cool in the furnace to**  1300° F. (704° C.). This temperature was maintained for a **sufficient time to break down the pearlite. The time necessary for the complete removal of the pearlite was determined**  by taking out the samples at various intervals and checking **microscopically the progress of the second stage of graphiti-^**  zation. If the pearlite was not broken down, the samples were returned to the annealing furnace at 1300° F. (704° C.) for an-**.other period,. In some cases it was necessary to repeat this**  procedure several times. With a number of the samples this **procedure was abandoned because it appeared that the time re-; quired would be of a long duration and of little value in this investigation,** 

**Upon removal from the furnace the samples were allowisd to cool to room temperature in the container; this required usually less than an hour» -**

**Because of the fact that decarburization had occurred and**  also because of the nature of the compositions, picture frame

 $-22-$ 

was encountered, and this was removed by more annealing at the lower temperature, 1300° F. (704° C.).

The temperature of the annealing furnace was controlled**and recorded by a Leeds and Horth'up Eecorder. This temperatta'e was frequently checked by a portable pyrosaeter indicator which**  in turn had been checked by a Leeds and Northwp Student Poten-tiometer (new model) and found satisfactory. Iron - constantan**thenaocouples were used and frequent replacements were necessary due to the oxidation of the iron.** 

**-23-**



#### TABLE C



FIRST STAGE OF GRAPHITIZATION 1700° F. (926° C.)

### **TABLE D**

FIRST STAGE OF GRAPHITIZATION 1700° F. (926° C.)



#### TABLE E

### SECOND STAGE OF GRAPHITIZATION 1300° F. (704° C.)



セントド





SECOND STAGE OF GRAPHITIZATION 1300° F. (704° C.)

#### TABLE G



### TOTAL TIEE FOR MALLEABLIZATION

#### TABLE H

#### TOTAL TIME FOR MALLEABLIZATION



#### TABLE I



#### MANGANESE SULFUR RELATIONS

### **TABIS J**



#### **MANGANESE SULFUR RELATIONS**









#### **B.** Photograhing of Alloys

A number of the samples were photographed to show their **structure, as cast, during the progress of the graphitization and upon completion of the same. Such magnifications were**  used as would show the detail of the structure to the best ad**vsjitage.** 

**-36-**







**Alloy 6. Structure as cast, Uitric acid etched. 240X.** 



**Alloy 35.**  Structure as cast. **nitric acid etched. 240X.** 



**Alloy 44. Structure as cast. Nitric acid etched. 240X.** 

-37-











**Alloy 6. Annealed at 1700®P. (926»C^) for 71 hours. ITitric acid etched. 240X.** 



**Alloy 6 » Annealed at 1700®P. {926oc.)**  for 49 hours. **Sodium picrate etched. lOOX.** 



**Alloy 71. Annealed at 1700^5'. (926°C.) for 5 hours. ITitric acid etched. 24GX.** 



**Alloy 71. Annealed at 1700°?. (926°C.) for 6 hours. Nitric acid etched. 240X.** 



**Alloy 22. Annealed at 1700°F. (926°C.) for 15 hours. Uitric acid etched. 240X.** 



**Alloy 1. Annealed at 1700°?. (926°C.) for 49 hours.**  Sodium picrate etched. 100X.



Alloy 2.<br>Annealed at 1700°F. (926°C.) for 49 hours. Sodium picrate etched. 100X.

Alloy 9.<br>Annealed at 1700°F. (926°C.) for 49 hours. Sodium picrate etched. 100X.



Alloy  $15<sub>•</sub>$ <br>Annealed at  $1700°F_•$  (926°C.) for 49 hours. Sodium pierate etched. 100X.



Alloy 15.<br>Annealed at 1700°F. (926°C.) for 49 hours. Sodium picrate etched. 725X.

 $-40-$ 



**Alloy 1,**  Annealed at 1700°F. (926°C.) for 49 hours. **Soditan picrate etched. 725X»** 



**Alloy 2. Annealed at 1700®F. (926®C.)**  for 49 hours. **Sodim picrate etched, 725X.** 



**Alloy 6. Annealed at 1700®P. (926oc.) for 49 hoiirs.**  Sodium picrate etched. 725X.



**Alloy 9.**  Annealed at 1700°F. (926°C.) for 49 hours. **Sodiiaa picrate etched. 725X,** 



Alloy 26.<br>Annealed at  $1300^{\circ}$ F. (704°C.) for 80 hours. Eitric acid etched. 105X.







Alley 46. Annealed at 1300°F. (704°C.) for 15 hours. Nitric acid stched. 105X.



Alloy 46.<br>Annealed at 13000F. (7040C.) for 15 hours. Picture frame. Nitric acid etched. 105X.

#### **IV.** DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

 $-43-$ 

**s** 

 $\mathbf{i}$ 

!

i

!

In considering what has been designated as the 2.10% car**jhon series, tables A, C» E» I» pages 19» 24j 26, 28, 30, re-<sup>I</sup>spectively, there are t^7o samples 6 and 9 in which the free 1**  cementite has not been removed even at 71 hours at 1700° F. **j (926° C.).** Therefore, complete data were not obtained for the <sup>i</sup>**first stage of graphitization and consequently no data at all <sup>I</sup>for the second stage.** 

Samples 8, 15, 16 and 24 were completed at the first stage **I of graphitization. In each case, the total time consumed was f**  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$  , we have the set of  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$  , we have the set of  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$ 100 or more hours. The  $\text{Mn}/\text{S}$  was 0.93, and the  $\text{Mn}$  - 2S was -0.051. A minimum time of 24 hours for complete graphitization **I**  was found for sample 41, whose Mn/S was 2.1, and Mn - 2S was i • • **i 0.043^.** 

**<sup>1</sup>Considering samples 40, 41^ 42, 44, 68, there was an aver- { age total time of 30.6 hours, an average lah/S of 4.26, and an**   $\arctan 2S$  of  $0.09\%$ .

**For the maximum manganese percentage there may be consid**<sup>i</sup>**ered samples 64, 65, 66, and 67> whose average total time for**  malleablization was 45 hours. In this case, the average  $\text{Im}/\text{S}$ was 10.65 and the Mn - 2S average was 0.308.

**I'**  $\mathbf{1}$  '  $\mathbf{1$ 

**1 From these results it can be seen that the total time for**  i • . • ' malleablization passes through a minimum at a  $\text{Im}/\text{S}$  of  $4.6$ , Fig-**I • • • I ure 1, page 32, This minimum corresponds to what is called a well balanced manganese to sulfur ratio.** 

In similar manner the 2.40% carbon series, Tables B, D, **F. H. J. pages 20, 25, 27, 29, 31, respectively, may be reviewed. In this group, those alloys which stand ont as the**  most resistant to malleablization are numbers 3, 7, 12, and **18• Trom Table J, page 31, it can be seen that the average Mn/S was 0.66, the Mn - 2S value was -0.07%. In this case** the total time of heat treating averaged 118.5 hours after which the annealing was discontinued. A minimum total time **of 25«7 hours was fonnd for sanples 35, 36, 70, 71, 72» The**  average value for  $\text{Im}/S$  was  $4.28$ , and for  $\text{Im}$  - 2S was  $0.128\%$ . **In considering, however, samples 70, 71, and 72, a value of**   $5.73$  was obtained for  $\text{km/s}_1$  and for  $\text{km}$  - 2S a result of 0.16%. The average total time of annealing was 28.8 hours.

**Referring to Tables H and J, pages 29 and 31, respectively, for the higher manganese composition^ it was found that the average total time for sialleablization was 41 hours, the**  average En/S was 10.15 and the average value for En - 2S was **0.287^. Again, the total time for malleablisation passes**  through a minimum at a  $\text{Min}/\text{S}$  of  $5.4$ , Figure 2, page 33.

**By a comparison of the two series a similarity was found in that the total time passes thruugh a minimum as the Mn/S increases. These results are shown graphically in Figures 1 and 2, pages 32 and 33, respectively. They are in fair agreesent with the results obtained by Yemenidjian (13) who worked with a higher sulfur composition of white cast iron.** 

 $-44-$ 

His results are graphed on page 34, Figure 3. Somewhat better agreement is obtained with the results of Kikuta (5), whose **results s.re shown graphically on page 3&#. Pigure 4J this is**  probably accounted for by the closer agreement of the manganese and sulfur composition.

**-45-**

For samples 1, 2, 10 and 17, no data were reported for **the second stage of graphitization because anomalous results**  were obtained. Since these abnormalities presented, in themselves, a problem, it was decided to leave them for a future **and more extensive imrestigation and study.** 

**The manganese sulfur ratios were arranged in groups hav**ing nearly the same numerical values, and these values aver**aged. In each of these groups^ the corresponding total hours of malleahlization were averaged. Mgures 1 and 2, pages 32**  and 33, respectively, show the curves obtained by plotting **these averaged values. This gave representative curves of the values plotted and due consideration to those values which were irregular.** 

#### CONCLUSION  $V_{\bullet}$

It has been found in this investigation that in both the 2.10% and 2.40% earbon series, the total time for malleablization passes through a minimum as the manganese sulfur ratio increases.

 $-47-$ 

#### VI. BIBLIOGRAPHY

#### Flanders, Hyrum Edward.  $1.$

The Causes of Incomplete Graphitization Near the  $\mathbf{1}$ . Surface when White Cast Iron Is Halleablized. The Production and Prevention of Embrittlement in  $11.$ Malleable Castings by Heat Treatments below the Critical Range. Thesis, not yet published. Library, Iowa State College, Ames, Iowa. 1928.

 $2.$ Gilmore, L. E. Proper Sulfur - Manganese Ratio Must Be Maintained. Foundry, 55:734-735. 1927.

 $3.1$ Hatfield, W. H. Influence of Sulphur on the Stability of Iron Carbide in the Presence of Silicon. Jour. Iron and Steel Inst., 87:139-156. 1913.

Hayes, Anson and Flanders, H. E.  $4a$ One of the Causes of Variation in Rates of Graphitization of White Cast Iron. Trans. Am. Foundryman's Assoc., 33:634-637. 1925.

5. Kikuta, T. On the Malleable Cast Iron and the Mechanism of Its Graphitization. Sci. Repts. Tohoku Imp. Univ., 15:115-155. 1926.

Levy, Donald M. 6. Iron, Carbon and Sulphur. Jour. Iron and Steel Inst., 77:32-91. 1908.

7. Levy, Donald M.

The Constitution of Cast Iron and Carbon Steels from the Practical Standpoint. Jour. Iron and Steel Inst., 81:403-423. 1910.

- $8<sub>n</sub>$ Norbury, A. L. Manganese in Cast Iron. Foundry Trade Journal, 41:79-83. 1929.
- Schwartz, H. A.  $9 -$ American Malleable Cast Iron. The Penton Publishing Co., pages 27, 57. 1922.
- Schwartz, H. A. and Guiler, G. M. 10. Chemical Elements Inhibiting Graphitization. Trans. Am. Foundryman's Assoc., 33:639-645. 1925.
- 11. Smith, R. H. Sulphur in Malleable Cast Iron. Jour. Iron and Steel Inst., 92:141-152. 1915.
- 12. Steed, J. E. Effect of Sulphur and Silicon on the Carbon Con-<br>dition of Cast Iron. Engineering, 90:508-509. 1910.

 $13.$ Yemenidjian, M. K. On the High Sulfur - Manganese White Cast Iron Alloys and Their Rates of Graphitization. Unpublished Thesis. Library, Iowa State College, Ames, Iowa. 1928.

 $-48-$